

CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS
2009/2010 Program Year
CDBG CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION APPLICATION
EVALUATION CRITERIA

Applicant Name _____
Program _____

	Total Possible	Total
1. ORGANIZATION'S CAPACITY – <i>Part II Organization Narrative</i>		
Applicant's mission and purpose are consistent with proposed program. (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts)	5	
Applicant has demonstrated they have the knowledge and capability to develop, implement and administer the proposed program. (High = 10 pts, Medium = 7 pts, Low = 3 pts, None = 0 pts)	10	
Applicant has never had funds recaptured or removed. (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	5	
Applicant's audit and/or financial statement indicate adequate financial capacity. (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	5	
2. QUALITY OF PROJECT – <i>Part VI Project Narrative</i>		
Applicant clearly describes the problem or need that the program will address. (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts)	5	
Project addresses at least one of the City's 2025 Strategic Plan, Consolidated Plan, or Plan to End Homelessness Priorities. (yes = 5 pts, No = 0 pts)	5	
Applicant provides current data that effectively substantiates the need (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts)	5	
To what degree is the project feasible given the work to be performed, activities to be undertaken, and the methods to be used? (High = 15 pts Medium = 10 pts, Low = 5 pts, Not at All = 0)	15	
Does the project have adequate number of development partners or the necessary degree of collaboration to successfully implement this project? (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts).	5	
Is the project timeline reasonable and can the project be completed in the timeline specified? (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, No = 0 pts)	5	
3. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS - <i>Part VII Outcome Performance Measurements</i>		
The project outcomes are realistic, measurable and appear to be achievable. (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts)	5	
The project indicators are consistent with the program outcomes and will adequately determine if the program outcomes will be achieved. (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts)	5	
4. BUDGET AND COST EFFECTIVENESS – <i>Part VIII Program Budget Certification, Part IX Project Budget Detail, Part X Project Funding Summary, Part XI Organization Funding History and Part XII Project Budget Narrative</i>		
Applicant has identified an adequate amount of other funding sources that will support the total cost of the project. (High = 10 pts, Medium = 5 pts, None = 0 pts)	10	
To what degree is the applicant leveraging dollars for this project? (High [75% or more leveraged dollars] = 15 pts, Medium [50% or more leveraged dollars] = 10 pts, Low [25% or more leveraged dollars] = 5pts, Very Low [less than 25% leveraged dollars] =0 pts.	15	
Are budget and costs reasonable given the type and scope of work to be performed? (High = 5 pts, Medium = 3 pts, Low = 1 pt, None = 0 pts)	5	
The funding history indicates that the organization has a strong history of securing local, state, federal and private dollars. (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	5	
TOTALS	110	